

1 **Relationship between Rotator Cuff Tears and Acromial Coverage of the Humeral**

2 **Head on the Axial Plane**

3 Satoshi MIYAKE MD^{1*}, Teruaki IZAKI PhD¹, Yozo SHIBATA PhD², Makoto

4 SAKURAI MD², Daisuke KURODA PhD², Masatoshi NAITO PhD¹

5 1 Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukuoka University Faculty of Medicine,

6 Fukuoka, Japan

7 2 Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukuoka University Chikushi Hospital, Fukuoka,

8 Japan

9

10

11

12

13

14 Running title: 3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

15 **Abstract**

16 *Purpose* Many authors have described the relationship between the radiographic
17 morphology of the acromion and rotator cuff disease, but few studies have evaluated the
18 relationship on the axial plane. We hypothesized that high acromial coverage of the
19 humeral head or excessive lateral or anterior extension of the acromion would be an
20 independent predictor of rotator cuff disease. This study aimed to clarify the relationship
21 between rotator cuff tears and acromial coverage of the humeral head on the axial plane.
22 *Methods* Fifty shoulders were evaluated for acromial coverage of the humeral head on
23 axial three-dimensional computed tomography images. The shoulders were divided into
24 two groups: group F ($n=25$; mean age, 60.48 years; range, 49–73 years) with
25 full-thickness rotator cuff tears, and group C ($n=25$; mean age, 58.96 years; range, 40–
26 79 years) with intact cuffs as a control group. The acromial coverage of the humeral
27 head was analyzed to determine the difference between the groups. *Results* There was
28 no significant difference between the groups in the acromial coverage of the humeral
29 head. *Conclusions* High acromial coverage of the humeral head on the axial plane did
30 not appear to be associated with full-thickness tearing of the rotator cuff.

31

32 **Key words:**

33 Rotator cuff tear, Acromial morphology, Acromial coverage, Radiography,
34 Three-dimensional computed tomography

35 **Footnotes**

36

37 Correspondence to: Satoshi MIYAKE, MD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery,

38 Fukuoka University Faculty of Medicine, 7-45-1 Nanakuma, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka

39 810-0180, Japan

40 E-mail: miyaken1981126@gmail.com

41 Tel: [+81-92-801-1011](tel:+81-92-801-1011); Fax: [+81-92-864-9055](tel:+81-92-864-9055)

42 **Introduction**

43 Controversy remains about the role of the acromion in rotator cuff tears. The question
44 of which came first, the rotator cuff tears or the acromial morphologic changes, also
45 remains. Neer¹ described that 95% of all tears are caused by impingement, and
46 consequently that tears start at the bursal side secondary to wear and tear. Since these
47 findings, many authors have focused on the relationship between the radiographic
48 appearance of the acromion and rotator cuff tears. Specifically, the acromiohumeral
49 distance (AHD), lateral acromial angle², acromial coverage index³, and acromion index⁴
50 were evaluated on true anteroposterior (AP) radiographs (oblique coronal plane), and
51 the acromial slope⁵, acromial shape^{6,7}, acromial spur formation⁸, and acromial angle^{6,7},
52 ⁹ were evaluated on scapular Y radiographs (oblique sagittal plane). Because axial
53 radiographic images require the arm to be in the abducted position and the structures to
54 be viewed are superimposed and invisible, there are few reports on the acromial
55 coverage of the humeral head on the axial plane. We suppose that new findings may be
56 obtained about the relationship between the acromial morphology and rotator cuff tears
57 by evaluating the axial plane.

58 We hypothesized that high acromial coverage of the humeral head or excessive lateral
59 or anterior extension of the acromion would be an independent predictor of rotator cuff
60 disease. The purpose of this study was to clarify the relationship between rotator cuff

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

61 tears and acromial coverage of the humeral head on axial three-dimensional computed
62 tomography (3DCT) images.

63

64 **Materials and Methods**

65 Fifty shoulders were evaluated for acromial coverage of the humeral head on axial
66 3DCT images. All included patients met the following criteria: shoulder injuries or
67 disorders evaluated by 3DCT with a diagnosis of the absence or presence of rotator cuff
68 tears confirmed by MRI at our institution.

69 We excluded patients with Grade 2–4B in the Hamada classification,¹⁰ fracture around
70 the shoulder girdle, osteonecrosis, sequelae of infection, os acromiale, shoulder
71 instability, and subacromial spurs measuring ≥ 2 mm. Measurement of the length of a
72 spur was defined as the maximum distance from the point where the inclination of the
73 anterior edge of the acromion abruptly increased to the tip of the spur in oblique coronal
74 or sagittal 3DCT images, based on the method of Ogawa et al.⁸ The shoulders were
75 divided into two groups: group F ($n=25$; mean age, 60.48 years; range, 49–73 years)
76 diagnosed with full-thickness rotator cuff tears on MRI before surgery, and group C
77 ($n=25$; mean age, 58.96 years; range, 40–79 years) with intact cuffs documented by
78 MRI, such as frozen shoulder, clavicle fracture, and so on, as a control group. The total
79 population for the study comprised 20 women and 30 men, with a mean age of 59.72
80 years. The two groups were matched by age, body mass index, and other acromial

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

81 morphologic factors, including AHD, acromial slope, and acromial shape described by
82 Bigliani et al.⁶, such that these factors on the sagittal or coronal plane had no influence
83 on the acromial coverage on the axial plane. AHD was evaluated on true AP radiographs
84 of the shoulders. Acromial slope was evaluated on oblique sagittal 3DCT images, in
85 accordance with the method of Aoki et al.⁵ Acromial shape^{6,7} was classified into three
86 groups on scapular Y radiographs of the shoulders.

87 The 3DCT scans (Aquilion TSX-101/HA; Toshiba Medical Systems Co., Tokyo,
88 Japan) and true AP radiographs were obtained with the arm in neutral rotation. The data
89 sets obtained by the 3DCT scans were transferred to a 3D workstation (Ziostation;
90 Ziosoft, Tokyo, Japan). Using the Ziostation, the axial plane was defined as the plane in
91 which the scapula was aligned so that the infraglenoid tubercle matched the
92 supraglenoid tubercle. The oblique sagittal plane was aligned by rotation of 90 degrees
93 downward from the axial plane. A transmission image photography method for the
94 humeral head was used to measure the acromial coverage of the humeral head. To avoid
95 overlap errors while measuring the acromial coverage of the humeral head on the axial
96 plane, the distal area from the surgical neck of the humerus was trimmed off.

97 The acromial coverage of the humeral head on the axial plane was quantified by
98 measuring the acromial coverage area index (ACAI). For the ACAI, a coracoacromial
99 (C-A) line was drawn connecting the most lateral tip of the coracoid and the
100 anterolateral corner of the acromion as the coracoacromial arch. The ACAI was

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

101 calculated by dividing the area of the humeral head within the coracoacromial arch by
102 the whole area of the humerus on axial 3DCT images (Fig. 1a). The acromial anterior
103 extension index (AAEI) was defined as the value for “anterior” acromial coverage. The
104 AAEI was calculated by dividing the distance (HA) from the tangent line (P line) to the
105 most posterior aspect of the humerus that was perpendicular to the glenoid plane to the
106 most anterior aspect of the acromion by the distance (HH) from the tangent line (A line)
107 to the most anterior aspect of the humerus that was perpendicular to the glenoid plane to
108 the P line on the axial 3DCT images (Fig. 1b). The acromial index on the axial plane
109 (AIAX) was defined as the value for “lateral” acromial coverage. The AIAX was
110 calculated by dividing the distance (A) from the most lateral aspect of the acromion to
111 the glenoid plane by the distance (H) from the most lateral aspect of the humerus to the
112 glenoid plane (Fig. 1c).

113 Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS, version 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY).
114 Hypothesis testing between the two groups was performed when the data were normally
115 distributed according to a Kolmogorov–Smirnov/Shapiro–Wilk normality test
116 (depending on the sample size). An unpaired *t*-test if the normality assumption was
117 satisfied or a Mann–Whitney U test if the normality assumption was not fulfilled was
118 carried out to compare the differences in age, AHI, ACAI, AAEI, and AIAX between
119 the two groups. The χ^2 for independent testing ($m \times n$ contingency table) indicated the
120 significance of the incidence of each acromial shape in the two groups. Values of $p < 0.05$

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

121 were considered to indicate significant differences. The reproducibility of the ACAI,
122 AA EI, and AIAX measurements was examined by intraclass correlation coefficients
123 (ICCs) for both interobserver reliability (measurements made by two different
124 observers) and intraobserver reliability (measurements repeated by the same observer at
125 different time points) for repeated measurements and 95% confidence intervals. We
126 considered ICCs of 0.7 or higher to be sufficient for the reliability.

127 This study was conducted at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukuoka
128 University Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka, according to approved medical and ethical
129 guidelines, and the study protocols were approved by the Fukuoka University
130 Institutional Review Board (IRB Approval Number: 15-8-18).

131

132 **Results**

133 There were no significant differences in age, AHD, and acromial angle between the
134 two groups ($p=0.580$, $p=0.461$, and $p=0.483$, respectively). There was no significance
135 difference of the incidence of each acromial shape between the two groups ($p=0.836$)
136 (Table 1).

137 The measurements for ACAI, AA EI, and AIAX showed high agreement for both
138 intraobserver reproducibility and interobserver reproducibility (Table 2). The mean
139 ACAI values were 0.538 ± 0.07 in group F and 0.524 ± 0.07 in group C. The mean AA EI
140 values were 0.31 ± 0.08 in group F and 0.28 ± 0.09 in group C. The mean AIAX values

141 were 0.66 ± 0.05 in group F and 0.68 ± 0.08 in group C. There were no significant
142 differences in ACAI, AA EI, and AIAX between the two groups ($p=0.473$, $p=0.346$, and
143 $p=0.278$, respectively) (Table 3).

144

145 **Discussion**

146 The acromial morphology as a risk factor for rotator cuff tears remains controversial.
147 In 1972, Neer¹ reported that subacromial impingement syndrome on the rotator cuff was
148 caused by alterations to the undersurface of the anterior one-third of the acromion. Aoki
149 et al.⁵ found that patients with impingement had a more acute acromial slope compared
150 with normal volunteers. Prato et al.¹¹ supported these studies with findings that the
151 mean acromial slope in 78 shoulders with a rotator cuff tear was significantly smaller
152 than that in 165 shoulders with an intact cuff. Bigliani et al.⁶ studied acromial structures
153 in cadavers, and found a high correlation between spur formation with type II and III
154 acromial structures and concomitant rotator cuff tears. Hirano et al.¹² reported that the
155 type III acromion was the most common structure in patients with a rotator cuff tear.
156 Torrens et al.³ described that patients with a cuff tear had a significantly higher acromial
157 coverage index than a control group. Nyffeler et al.⁴ introduced the acromion index, and
158 described that the acromion in patients with a full-thickness rotator cuff tear appeared to
159 have a more lateral extension than that in patients with an intact cuff. Their results

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

160 support the presence of a close relationship between rotator cuff tears and narrowing of
161 the supraspinatus outlet or high acromial coverage.^{3-7, 11, 12}

162 In these previous studies^{3-7, 11, 12}, the acromial morphology was evaluated on oblique
163 coronal or sagittal planes. There are few reports on the acromial morphology on the
164 axial plane¹⁷. It is difficult to evaluate the relationship between the acromial coverage of
165 the humeral head and rotator cuff tears on the axial plane of plain radiographs, MR
166 images, and 2DCT images. Therefore, we used a transmission image photography
167 method for the humeral head to evaluate the relationship on axial 3DCT images.

168 Evaluations of the acromial coverage on the axial plane have two essential advantages.
169 First, we can simultaneously evaluate a lateral extension of the acromion as well as an
170 anterior extension. Second, the ACAI obtained on axial 3DCT images can represent the
171 comprehensive acromial coverage of the humeral head, including the coverage by the
172 coracoacromial ligament.

173 However, we need to take into account the effects of both age and secondary
174 degenerative changes when evaluating the acromial morphology. Ogawa et al.⁸ showed
175 a relationship between age and acromial spurs, using a combination of control patients,
176 surgically-treated patients, and cadaveric specimens. MacGillivray et al.¹³ reported that
177 age distribution from the second to eighth decades demonstrated a generally consistent
178 and gradual translation from a flat acromion in the younger decades to a more hooked
179 acromion in the older decades. Balke et al.¹⁵ concluded that there was a good correlation

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

180 between acromial shape and acromial slope on standard radiographs from 50 patients
181 with full-thickness rotator cuff tears, 50 patients with subacromial impingement, and 50
182 controls without subacromial pathology. Hirano et al.¹² described that the occurrence
183 rate of the type III shape in patients with rotator cuff tears was not significantly high
184 when age-matched patients with and without rotator cuff tears were compared, and
185 implied that the hook type might be an age-related degenerative change. Moses et al.¹⁶
186 described that the acromial slope reported by Aoki et al.⁵ would be an indirect measure
187 of the presence of an acromial spur or hooked morphology in a 3D analysis of the
188 acromion. Aoki et al.⁵ and Prato et al.¹¹ did not describe acromial spur formation.
189 However, we occasionally felt that an increasing length of spur was associated with a
190 decreasing angle of the acromial slope. Therefore, we compared the acromial coverage
191 with age-matched normal controls and standardized the secondary degenerative
192 morphologic conditions of the acromion resulting from rotator cuff tears, e.g., acromial
193 spur⁸, acromial slope¹³, and AHD, between the groups.
194 In addition, evaluations of the acromial coverage on the axial plane have the
195 disadvantage of being influenced by individual morphologic narrowing in the
196 subacromial space. This is because the narrowing results in attrition between the rotator
197 cuff and the coracoacromial arch during abduction or flexion of the arm. Therefore, we
198 found it necessary to standardize the morphologic features of the acromion, e.g.,

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

199 acromial slope⁵ and acromial shape described by Bigliani et al.⁶, between the two
200 groups.

201 Thus, we consider that it is important to standardize groups, such that complexly
202 intertwined factors have no influence on the target factor. An explanation for our
203 contradictory results with regard to previous studies^{1-7, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17} may be that
204 age-related degenerative changes and secondary degenerative conditions after rotator
205 cuff tears could be excluded in the present study.

206 Surprisingly, the type III acromial shape was only observed in one (4%) of 25
207 shoulders with full-thickness rotator cuff tears with exclusion criteria for acromial spurs.
208 Because acromial spurs cannot be measured accurately on plain radiographs or MR
209 images, these methods may lead investigators to make mistakes over groupings of
210 acromial shapes under the influence of acromial spurs. Therefore, we evaluated the
211 acromial shapes on plain radiographs after measurement of the acromial spurs on 3DCT
212 images to avoid this mistake. Additional studies are required to clarify the
213 reproducibility of diagnosis for the acromial shape between plain radiographs and
214 3DCT images.

215 The results of several studies¹⁸⁻²¹ and our previous study²² have brought into question
216 the biomechanical theory proposed by Nyffeler et al.⁴ of a relationship between a large
217 acromion index and rotator cuff disease. From our findings, we conclude that there is no
218 significant difference in the AIAX between the rotator cuff tear group and the intact cuff

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

219 group matched by age-related factors, including age and acromial spurs, thus supporting
220 the previous studies¹⁸⁻²¹. A lateral extension of the acromion may be a degenerative
221 change after a rotator cuff tear, but a definitive conclusion has not yet been reached with
222 regard to this matter.

223 Sakoma et al.²³ reported that a greater anterior acromial projection was observed in the
224 tear group ($n=7$) compared with the normal group ($n=35$) in an unmatched study for age,
225 acromial shape, and AHD, based on a macroscopic examination of 42 cadaveric
226 shoulders on the oblique sagittal plane. However, we found that there was no significant
227 difference in the anterior acromial projection between the tear group ($n=25$) and the
228 normal group ($n=25$) in our matched study by age, acromial shape, and AHD. Age may
229 lead to morphologic changes of the acromion or coracoid. In addition, the acromial
230 shape may affect the measurement size of a circle fitted to the undersurface of the
231 coracoacromial arch. The AHD value may have a great effect on the measurement, and
232 they also recognized this limitation²³.

233 The strong points of the present study are that it is the first to evaluate the
234 relationship between rotator cuff tears and acromial coverage of the humerus on axial
235 3DCT images, and the first to perform evaluations in groups matched by other acromial
236 morphologic factors, which can have an influence on the values of the acromial
237 coverage.

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

238 This study had some limitations. First, the sample size was small. To be able to
239 standardize multiple factors between the groups and fulfil the exclusion criteria, we
240 could not obtain a large sample size. Second, the study was retrospective. Third,
241 partial-thickness rotator cuff tears were not included in the study.

242

243 **Conclusions**

244 High acromial coverage of the humeral head on the axial plane did not appear to be
245 associated with full-thickness tearing of the rotator cuff.

246

247 **Conflict of Interest**

248 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

249 **References**

- 250 1) Neer CS: Anterior acromioplasty for the chronic impingement syndrome in the
251 shoulder: a preliminary report. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 54:42-50, 1972.
- 252 2) Banas MP, Miller RJ, Tatterman S: Relationship between the lateral acromion angle
253 and rotator cuff disease. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 4:454-461, 1995.
- 254 3) Torrens C, Lopez JM, Puente I, Caceres E: The influence of the acromial coverage
255 index in rotator cuff tears. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 16:347-351, 2007.
- 256 4) Nyffeler RW, Werner CML, Sukthankar A, Schmid MR, Gerber C: Association of a
257 large lateral extension of the acromion with rotator cuff tear. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*
258 88:800-805, 2006.
- 259 5) Aoki M, Ishii S, Usui M: The slope of the acromion and rotator cuff impingement.
260 *Orthop Trans* 10:228, 1986.
- 261 6) Bigliani LU, Morrison DS, April EW: The morphology of the acromion and its
262 relationship to rotator cuff tears. *Orthop Trans* 10:228, 1986.
- 263 7) Bigliani LU, Ticker JB, Flatow EL, Soslowky LJ, Mow VC: The relationship of
264 acromial architecture to rotator cuff disease. *Clin Sports Med* 10:823-838, 1991.
- 265 8) Ogawa K, Yoshida A, Inokuchi W, Naniwa T: Acromial spur: Relationship to aging
266 and morphologic changes in the rotator cuff. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 14:591-598,
267 2005.

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

- 268 9) Toivonen DA, Tuite MJ, Orwin JF: Acromial structure and tears of the rotator cuff. J
269 Shoulder Elbow Surg 4:376-383, 1995.
- 270 10) Hamada K, Fukuda H, Mikasa M, Kobayashi Y: Roentgenographic findings in
271 massive rotator cuff tears. A long-term observation. Clin Orthop Relat Res
272 254:92-96, 1990.
- 273 11) Prato N, Peloso D, Franconeri A, Tegaldo G, Ravera GB, Silvestri E, Derchi LE:
274 The anterior tilt of the acromion: Radiographic evaluation and correlation with
275 shoulder diseases. Eur Radiol 8:1639-1646, 1998.
- 276 12) Hirano M, Ide J, Takagi K: Acromial shapes and extension of rotator cuff tears:
277 magnetic resonance imaging evaluation. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 11:576-578, 2002.
- 278 13) MacGillivray JD, Fealy S, Potter HG, O'Brien SJ: Multiplanar analysis of acromion
279 morphology. Am J Sports Med 26:836-840, 1998.
- 280 14) Panni AS, Milano G, Lucania L, Fabbriciani C, Logroscino CA: Histological
281 analysis of the coracoacromial arch: correlation between age-related changes and
282 rotator cuff tears. Arthroscopy. 12:531-540, 1996.
- 283 15) Balke M, Schmidt C, Dedy N, Banerjee M, Bouillon M, Liem D: Correlation of
284 acromial morphology with impingement syndrome and rotator cuff tears. Acta
285 Orthopaedica 84: 178-183, 2013.

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

- 286 16) Moses D, Chang EY, Schweitzer ME: The scapuloacromial angle: a 3D analysis of
287 acromial slope and its relationship with shoulder impingement. *J MRI* 24:1371-1377,
288 2006.
- 289 17) Zuckerman JD, Frederick J, Cuomo F, Simon J, Rosenblum S, Katz N: The
290 influence of coracoacromial arc anatomy on rotator cuff tears. *J Shoulder Elbow*
291 *Surg* 1:4-14, 1992.
- 292 18) Ames JB, Horan MP, Van de Meijden OAJ, Leake MJ, Millett PJ: Association
293 between acromial index and outcomes following arthroscopic repair of
294 full-thickness rotator cuff tears. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 94:1862-1869, 2012.
- 295 19) Hamid N, Omid R, Yamaguchi K, Steger-May K, Stobbs G, Keener J: Relationship
296 of radiographic acromial characteristics and rotator cuff disease: A prospective
297 investigation of clinical, radiographic, and sonographic findings. *J Shoulder Elbow*
298 *Surg* 10:1289-1298, 2012.
- 299 20) Kircher J, Morhard M, Gavriilidis I, Magosch P, Lichtenberg S, Habermeyer P: Is
300 there an association between a low acromion index and osteoarthritis of the
301 shoulder? *Int Orthop* 34:1005-1010, 2010.
- 302 21) Miyazaki AN, Itoi E, Sano H, Fregoneze M, Santos PD, da Silva LA, Sella Gdo V,
303 Martel EM, Debom LG, Andrade ML, Checchia SL: Comparison between the
304 acromion index and rotator cuff tears in the Brazilian and Japanese populations. *J*
305 *Shoulder Elbow Surg* 20:1082-1086, 2011.

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

- 306 22) Miyake S, Izaki T, Shibata, Y, Sakurai, M, Naito M: Relationship between lateral
307 extension of the acromion and partial-thickness rotator cuff tears with cuff swelling.
308 *Katakansetsu* 38:892-895, 2014.
- 309 23) Sakoma Y, Sano H, Shinozaki N, Itoigawa Y, Yamamoto N, Itoi E: Coverage of the
310 humeral head by the coracoacromial arch: relationship with rotator cuff tears. *Acta*
311 *Medica Okayama.* 67:377-383, 2013.

312 **Figure Legends**

313 **Fig. 1**

314 (a) Diagrammatic representation of the ACAI on axial 3DCT images. First, a
315 transmission image photographic method for the humeral head was performed. Second,
316 the distal area from the surgical neck of the humerus was trimmed off. A coracoacromial
317 (C-A) line was drawn connecting the lateral tip of the coracoid and the anterolateral tip
318 of the acromion as the coracoacromial arch. The ACAI was calculated by dividing the
319 area of the humeral head within the coracoacromial arch by the whole area of the
320 humerus on the axial 3DCT images. (b) The AA EI was calculated by dividing the
321 distance (HA) from the tangent line (P line) to the most posterior aspect of the humerus
322 that was perpendicular to the glenoid plane to the most anterior aspect of the acromion
323 by the distance (HH) from the from the tangent line (A line) to the most anterior aspect
324 of the humerus that was perpendicular to the glenoid plane to the P line on the axial
325 3DCT images. (c) The AIAX was calculated by dividing the distance (A) from the most
326 lateral aspect of the acromion to the glenoid plane by the distance (H) from the most
327 lateral aspect of the humerus to the glenoid plane.

Table 1 Patient demographic characteristics in the groups and acromial morphology

Group	F (n=25)	C (n=25)	P value
Age* (years)	60.48±8.48	58.96±10.66	0.58
Male/Female	18/7	12/13	0.41
BMI*	25.08±3.09	24.18±2.78	0.29
AHD**	10.8 (7.66 to 18.79)	10.3 (7.72 to 14.40)	0.46
Acromial angle*	26.70±3.51	27.54±4.76	0.48
Acromial shape			
Type I	3	3	0.84
Type II	21	20	
Type III	1	2	

BMI: body mass index; AHD: acromiohumeral distance.

*The normality assumption is satisfied. An unpaired *t*-test was carried out to compare the differences. The measure of the central tendency median is the mean ± SD.

**The normality assumption is not satisfied. The Mann–Whitney U test was carried out to compare the differences. The measure of the central tendency median is the median (range).

Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered to indicate significant differences.

3DCT evaluation of acromial coverage

Table 2 Intraobserver and interobserver reproducibilities for each parameter

	Intraobserver reproducibility		Interobserver reproducibility	
	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
ACAI	0.98	0.96–0.99	0.96	0.93–0.98
AAEI	0.94	0.89–0.97	0.85	0.76–0.91
AIAX	0.97	0.95 to 0.98	0.90	0.76–0.95

- 1 CI, confidence interval; ICC, interclass correlation coefficient.

Table 3 Acromion coverage values on axial 3DCT images

Group	F (n=25)	C (n=25)	P value
ACAI	0.54±0.07	0.52±0.07	0.47
AAEI	0.31±0.08	0.28±0.09	0.35
AIAX	0.66±0.05	0.68±0.08	0.28

ACAI: acromial coverage area index; AAEI: acromial anterior extension index; AIAX: acromial index on the axial plane. The normality assumption is satisfied. An unpaired *t*-test was carried out to compare the differences. The measure of the central tendency median is the mean ± SD. The normality assumption is not satisfied. The Mann–Whitney U test was carried out to compare the differences. The measure of the central tendency median is the median (range). Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered to indicate significant differences.

